REMARKS

Claims 1-10, 12-59, and 61-101 are pending, with claims 1, 41, 52-53, 84, and 95 being independent. Claims 1, 2, 41, 52-53, 84, and 95 have been amended, and claims 11 and 60 have been cancelled. Support for the amendments is found, for example, with respect to page 16, lines 1-20 and in Fig. 7. No new matter has been introduced.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 1-8, 17-22, 26-31, 34-45, 48-57, 60-68, 72-76, 78-89, and 91-101 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being rendered obvious by U.S. Patent No. 7,370,035 to Gross et al. ("Gross") in view of U.S. Publication No. 2004/0030741 to Wolton et al. ("Wolton"). This rejection should be withdrawn because none of the references suggest the limitations of the amended independent claims.

Amended independent claim 1 recites, "receiving, from the host, a first result that includes a first argument and an identifier of a first web application, wherein the first web application is configured to provide first specialized services and the first argument is passed to the first specialized services in response to user selection of the first result" and "receiving, from the host, a second result that includes a second argument that is different from the first argument, and an identifier of a second web application, wherein the second web application differs from the first web application in function, wherein the second web application is configured to provide second specialized services and the second argument is passed to the second specialized services in response to user selection of the second result." Neither Gross nor Walton describe or suggest these features.

Gross describes that a web browser is one application is used by the control bar application 302A shown in Fig. 3A. See Fig. 3A. Within Fig. 3A, there is a files tab 304A, an email tab 306A (currently selected), and a web tab 310A. All of these applications are part of the control bar 302A. See Paragraph 0096. Thus, the control pane 302A is NOT part of Internet Explorer (web tab 310A). Rather, the Internet Explorer application shown in tab 310A and referenced by the Office Action in [0082] is a merely a peer to the email application shown in tab 306A. Thus, the configuration of Internet Explorer shown in Gross as exemplified in Fig. 3A

Applicant : Mehanna et al.
Serial No. : 10/651,303
Filed : August 29, 2003
Page : 27 of 28

Attorney's Docket No.: 06975-0447001

and described in [0082] and [0100] does not make the other applications shown in control pane 302A web applications.

In relating Gross to the previous instance of the limitation that recited, "receiving, from the host, a first result that includes a first argument and an identifier of a first web application," the Office Action points to paragraph 0040. For convenience, paragraph 0040 has been included below.

> **[0040] The index engine 103 can utilize one or more indexing algorithms to create an index, such as a reverse or inverted index. The index includes a data structure that associates character strings with files, documents, and the like. In one example embodiment, for each word or character string found with a file or document, the index stores which fields of which documents or files contain that word or character string.**

First, and as is demonstrated above, the preceding section fails to describe "a first argument" as required by amended independent claim 1. Instead, Gross describes providing a listing of search results. Since Gross fails to describe a first argument, Gross necessarily fails to describe or suggest "wherein … the first argument <u>is passed to</u> the first specialized services <u>in response to user selection of the first result</u>."

Second, Gross fails to describe "first specialized services." Instead, Gross enables searches to be separated by different tabs. It is unclear how the figures shown represent a "first web application is configured to provide first specialized services." In recognizing that Gross fails to describe identifiers, the Office Action turns to Walton. Walton describes a list of MIME types. Walton's list of MIME types indicate a list of file types that may be supported. However, Walton does not describe how the different files are presented nor does Walton describe or suggest the interface through which files are accessed. Because Walton is silent as to any interface, Walton necessarily fails to describe or suggest, "receiving, from the host, a first result that includes a first argument and an identifier of a first web application, <u>wherein the first web application is configured to provide first specialized services and the first argument is passed to the first specialized services in response to user selection of the first result</u>" and "receiving, from the host, a second result that includes a second argument that is different from the first argument, and an identifier of a second web application, <u>wherein the second web application differs from the first web application in function, wherein the second web application is configured to provide</u>

second specialized services and the second argument is passed to the second specialized services in response to user selection of the second result," as required by independent claim 1.

None of the secondary references that were applied describe or suggest these limitations. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims is respectfully requested. Independent claims 1, 41, 52-53, 84, and 95 recite similar limitations and are believed to be allowable for similar reasons. Accordingly, allowance of pending claims 1-10, 12-59, and 61-101 is respectfully requested.

Claim 2

Claim 2 has been amended and now recites, "receiving the first result that includes the first argument and the identifier of the first web application comprises receiving a mapping result that includes a first location and an identifier of a web mapping application, the mapping result including cartographic information." Neither Gross nor Walton describes or suggests this limitation.

No fees are believed to be due. However, please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 8/3/2030

Thomas A. Rozylowicz
Reg. No. 50,620

Fish & Richardson P.C.
1425 K Street, N.W.
11th Floor
Washington, DC 20005-3500
Telephone: (202) 783-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945

40565647.doc